

# Scattering Theory Relevant to the Linear Transport of Particle Swarms

Giovanni Frosali<sup>1,2</sup> and Cornelis V. M. van der Mee<sup>3</sup>

*Received July 20, 1988; final February 14, 1989*

---

The long-time behavior of the velocity distribution of a spatially uniform diluted guest population of charged particles moving within a host medium under the influence of a D.C. electric field is studied within the framework of scattering theory. We prove the existence of wave and scattering operators for a simplified one-dimensional model of the linearized Boltzmann equation. The theory is applied to the study of the long-term behavior of electrons and the occurrence of traveling waves in runaway processes.

---

**KEY WORDS:** Scattering theory; traveling waves; electron swarms.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The physics of particle swarms has been studied extensively in the past decades and we refer to the recent report by Kumar<sup>(1)</sup> for an introduction to a phenomenological analysis of the subject matter and the experimental results (see also refs. 2 and 3). In a recent paper,<sup>(4)</sup> the time-dependent and the stationary problem for the linearized Boltzmann equation for charged particles under the influence of a spatially uniform D.C. electric field in a weakly ionized gas have been investigated. In spite of the theoretical understanding achieved in this area, some mathematical aspects pertaining to the long-term behavior of electrons require further study.

---

<sup>1</sup> Center for Transport Theory and Mathematical Physics, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061.

<sup>2</sup> Permanent address: Dipartimento di Matematica "Vito Volterra," Università di Ancona, I-60100 Ancona, Italy.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19716.

The linearized equation describing the evolution of the space-averaged electron distribution  $f(v, t)$  in a weakly ionized host medium as a function of the velocity  $v \in \mathbb{R}$  and time  $t \geq 0$  is as follows:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(v, t) + a \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}(v, t) + v(v) f(v, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k(v, v') v(v') f(v', t) dv' \quad (1.1)$$

It is endowed with the initial condition

$$f(v, 0) = f_0(v) \quad (1.2)$$

The electrostatic acceleration  $a$  is assumed constant and positive. Recombination and ionization effects are assumed to balance each other so that the total number of charged particles is preserved. The expressions  $v(v)$  and  $k(v, v')$  denote the collision frequency (between an electron and the host medium) and the corresponding scattering kernel.

In ref. 4 the authors have proved the unique solvability of the time-dependent evolution system (1.1)–(1.2) in  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$ , as well as the nonnegativity of the solution for a nonnegative initial datum. They have also established necessary conditions and sufficient conditions for the existence of a (unique) nonnegative solution of the stationary problem. In this analysis, a crucial role is played by the dependence of the collision frequency  $v(v)$  upon the speed  $v$  of the charged particles for large  $v$ . Under very minor assumptions on  $v(v)$ , a necessary condition for the stationary problem

$$a \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}(v) + v(v) f(v) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k(v, v') v(v') f(v') dv'$$

to admit a nontrivial nonnegative solution in  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$  is that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} v(v) dv = +\infty \quad (1.3)$$

In ref. 4 the relaxation of the solution to the stationary solution has been proved whenever it exists in  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$ . However, if instead of (1.3) we assume that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} v(x) dv < +\infty \quad (1.4)$$

then the stationary solution does not exist in  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$ , but it does exist in  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, v dv)$ . In this case  $v(v)$  decays too fast as  $|v| \rightarrow \infty$  and collisions do not sufficiently slow down the most energetic charged particles as to enable

relaxation to a nonzero steady state.<sup>(5)</sup> We may then expect the so-called runaway phenomenon. The purpose of this paper is to study the asymptotics of the problem under assumption (1.4). We prove that in this case the collisions generate a traveling wave in velocity space with “velocity”  $a$ .

In this paper scattering theory, a well-known tool for studying dynamical systems in many fields of physics, is used to investigate the large-time behavior of solutions to Eqs. (1.1)–(1.2). Suppose  $W_0(t)$  is the group describing the free dynamics and  $S(t)$  the semigroup describing the full dynamics of the electrons. Then wave operators are used to compare  $S(t)g$  for the initial datum  $g$  (the full dynamics) to  $W_0(t)h$  for a suitable initial datum  $h$  (the free dynamics). Such operators were introduced in the 1940s by physicists<sup>(6)</sup> and developed into a rigorous tool in the late 1950s.<sup>(7,8)</sup> Here we define the wave operators as linear maps on velocity space and follow the approach of Simon<sup>(9)</sup> for classical particles in a Hilbert space setting, subsequently adapted to the neutron transport equation by Hejtmánek<sup>(10)</sup> (see refs. 11–13 for further developments). For more general developments in mathematical scattering theory we refer to a number of monographs.<sup>(14–17)</sup>

Let us define the operators

$$\Omega^+ = s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} S(-t) W_0(t), \quad \Omega^- = s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} W_0(-t) S(t) \quad (1.5)$$

as strong limits of operators on  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$ . For  $s > 0$  we multiply the first equation by  $S(s)$  and the second equation by  $W_0(s)$  to get the intertwining relation

$$S(s) \Omega^+ u = s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} S(s-t) W_0(t-s) W_0(s) u = \Omega^+ W_0(s) u$$

i.e.,  $\Omega^+$  connects the full dynamics with initial datum  $\Omega^+ u$  to the free dynamics with initial datum  $u$ . A similar calculation gives

$$W_0(s) \Omega^- v = s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} W_0(s-t) S(t-s) S(s) v = \Omega^- S(s) v$$

which allows for an analogous interpretation. Assuming, for the moment, the existence of  $\Omega^+$  and  $\Omega^-$ , we define the scattering operator  $\mathbb{S}$  as

$$\mathbb{S} = \Omega^- \Omega^+$$

Then we have for  $s > 0$

$$\mathbb{S} W_0(s) = \Omega^- \Omega^+ W_0(s) = \Omega^- S(s) \Omega^+ = W_0(s) \Omega^- \Omega^+ = W_0(s) \mathbb{S}$$

The equality of the leftmost and rightmost members will also be true for  $s < 0$  due to the group structure of  $W_0(s)$ . Thus the scattering operator

$\mathbb{S} = \Omega^- \Omega^+$  transforms a free solution which starts out as  $u^-$  near  $t = -\infty$  into a free solution  $u^+$  near  $t = +\infty$ .

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we state the problem. In Section 3 we prove the existence of the so-called wave operators under the assumption of integrability of  $v(v)$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . In Section 4 we provide a proof of the existence of traveling waves in velocity space.

## 2. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

In this section we give the basic notation and state some well-known results on the Cauchy problem (1.1)–(1.2). Prior to the functional formulation of the problem, let us introduce the Banach spaces  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$  and  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, v dv)$  with the norms  $\|f\|_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(v)| dv$  and  $\|f\|_v = \|vf\|_1$ , respectively, and list the assumptions on  $a$ ,  $v(v)$ , and  $k(v, v')$ .

*Assumption (i):* The acceleration  $a$  is a fixed positive constant;

*Assumption (ii):* The collision frequency  $v(v)$  is a Lebesgue measurable, nonnegative, and even function of  $v$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  which vanishes almost nowhere and is Lebesgue integrable on every bounded Lebesgue-measurable set.

*Assumption (iii):* The collision kernel  $k(v, v') \geq 0$  appearing in the integral operator has the property

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k(v, v') dv \equiv 1, \quad v' \in \mathbb{R}$$

and, by reciprocity symmetry, we also have  $k(-v, -v') = k(v, v')$ .

We define  $T_0 f = -a \partial f / \partial v$ ,  $Af = -v(v)f$ , and  $(Kf)(v) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k(v, v') v(v') \times f(v') dv'$ , where  $D(T_0)$  is the set of those  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$  whose distributional derivative belongs to  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$ ,  $D(A)$  is the intersection of  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$  and  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, v dv)$ , and  $K$  is a positive linear operator satisfying

$$\|Kf\|_1 = \|f\|_v, \quad f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}, v dv) \quad \text{and} \quad f \geq 0$$

All our results will be true for abstract operators  $K: L_1(\mathbb{R}, v dv) \rightarrow L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$  which are positive and satisfy  $\|Kf\|_1 = \|f\|_v$  for all nonnegative  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}, v dv)$ . Using the preceding definitions, we can put problem (1.1)–(1.2) into the abstract form

$$\frac{df}{dt} = T_0 f(t) + Af(t) + Kf(t), \quad t > 0$$

$$f(0) = f_0$$

where  $d/dt$  is the strong derivative,  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$ , and  $f_0$  is the initial datum.

Let us denote by  $W_0(t)$  the strongly continuous evolution group

$$[W_0(t)g](v) = g(v - at), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$$

of isometries generated by the free streaming operator  $T_0$ , and by  $S_0(t)$  the strongly continuous contraction semigroup

$$[S_0(t)g](v) = \exp \left[ - \int_0^t v(v - as) ds \right] g(v - at) \tag{2.1}$$

generated by the streaming operator  $T_0 - v$ . Then  $S_0(t)$  is a group of positive operators, which is bounded if and only if  $v \in L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$ . Further, in ref. 4 we have studied the operator  $T = T_0 + A + K$  on the intersection  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$ ,  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, v dv)$ , and the set of functions which are absolutely continuous on  $[-b, b]$  for all  $b > 0$ , are of bounded variation and vanish at  $-\infty$ , and proved some closed extension of  $T$  to generate a strongly continuous semigroup  $S(t)$  on  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$  satisfying<sup>4</sup>

$$\|S(t)f\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1, \quad f \geq 0 \quad \text{in } L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv), \quad t \geq 0$$

From now on we will not distinguish between the operator  $T$  with domain  $\mathcal{M}$  and its closed extension in  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$  generating  $S(t)$ . The full semigroup  $S(t)$  cannot in general be extended to a group of positive operators. However, such an extension is possible if  $v(v)$  is integrable, but also in many cases where  $v(v)$  is not integrable [such as  $v(v) \equiv v_0$  constant].

If we consider  $S(t)$  as a perturbation of  $S_0(t)$ , we may derive the so-called Duhamel formulas. For their Laplace transforms

$$L_\lambda g = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S_0(t) g dt, \quad T_\lambda g = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t) g dt, \quad \text{Re } \lambda > 0$$

we have the identities<sup>(4)</sup>

$$T_\lambda - L_\lambda = T_\lambda K L_\lambda, \quad T_\lambda - L_\lambda = L_\lambda K T_\lambda, \quad \text{Re } \lambda > 0 \tag{2.2}$$

The first relation is true for every  $v(v)$ , but the latter only if  $T$  (with  $\mathcal{M}$  as its domain) is closed in  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$ , which is the case if  $v(v)$  is integrable on  $\mathbb{R}$ . In that case the operator  $L_\lambda$  can be defined for  $\text{Re } \lambda < 0$  as the resolvent of  $T_0 + A$  [because  $S_0(t)$  then is a bounded group]; we may then use the

<sup>4</sup> If the generator of  $S(t)$  is the closure of  $T$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ , then  $\|S(t)f\|_1 = \|f\|_1, f \geq 0$  in  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$ . This is true, e.g., if  $v(v)$  is bounded or if (1.4) holds true.

positivity of  $L_\lambda$  for  $\text{Re } \lambda < 0$  [due to the positivity of  $S_0(t)$  for  $t < 0$ ] to prove that, for  $\text{Re } \lambda < 0$ ,  $KL_\lambda$  is bounded on  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$  and  $L_\lambda K$  is bounded on  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, v dv)$ , which allows us to generalize the relations (2.2) for  $\text{Re } \lambda < 0$ . For integrable  $v(v)$  we then find the (bounded) group property of  $S(t)$  as well as the Duhamel formulas

$$S(t) = S_0(t) + \int_0^t S(t-s)KS_0(s) ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.3}$$

$$S(t) = S_0(t) + \int_0^t S_0(t-s)KS(s) ds, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.4}$$

Again the former [i.e., (2.3)] is true for any  $v(v)$ , while the latter [i.e., (2.4)] is only true for integrable  $v(v)$ .

In ref. 4 we have established the following result.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose there exists a nontrivial solution  $\varphi$  of the stationary problem in  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$ . Then the semigroup  $S(t)$  generated by  $T$  is mean ergodic, i.e., for every  $g \in L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$  there exists a vector  $Pg \in L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t S(t')g dt' - Pg \right\|_1 = 0$$

The limit  $Pg$  is a one-dimensional projection of the form

$$(Pg)(v) = \alpha(g) \varphi(v), \quad v \in \mathbb{R}$$

where  $\alpha(g) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(v')g(v') dv'$  for some function  $\psi \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}, dv)$  with  $\psi \geq 0$ ,  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(v')\varphi(v') dv' = 1$ , and  $\|\psi\|_\infty < +\infty$ . If, in addition, the generator of  $S(t)$  does not have purely imaginary eigenvalues, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|S(t)g - Pg\|_1 = 0, \quad g \in L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$$

The assumption that  $T$ , the generator of  $\{S(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ , does not have purely imaginary eigenvalues can be dropped in many cases, e.g., if  $v(v)$  is bounded or if (1.4) holds true.<sup>(18,4)</sup>

### 3. THE EXISTENCE OF THE WAVE OPERATORS $\Omega^-$ AND $\Omega^+$

In this section we prove the existence of the wave operators defined by (1.5) under the sufficient condition of integrability of  $v(v)$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Condition (1.4) on the behavior of  $v(v)$  as  $|v| \rightarrow \infty$  will be sufficient to ensure the existence of  $\Omega^-$ . We have the following result.

**Theorem 2.** If the collision frequency  $\nu(v)$  satisfies Assumption (ii) and the additional assumption (1.4), then  $\Omega^- = s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} W_0(-t) S(t)$  exists strongly in  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$  and is a bounded positive operator.

*Proof.* Because  $\int_0^t \nu(v - as) ds \leq (M/a)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , we have, for all positive  $g \in L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [S(t)g](v) &\geq \exp\left[-\int_0^t \nu(v - as) ds\right] [W_0(t)g](v) \\ &\geq \exp\left(-\frac{M}{a}\right) [W_0(t)g](v) \end{aligned}$$

and consequently, for a.e.  $v \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,  $g(v) \leq [S(t)g](v + at) \exp(M/a)$ . Replacing  $g$  by  $S(s)g$  and  $t$  by  $t - s$  with  $t \geq s \geq 0$ , we obtain

$$[S(s)g](v) \leq [S(t)g][v + a(t - s)] \exp(M/a)$$

Hence,

$$\int_0^\infty \|S(s)g\|_1 ds \leq \exp\left(\frac{M}{a}\right) \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{\nu(v)}{a} \|S(t)g\|_1 dv = \frac{M}{a} \exp\left(\frac{M}{a}\right) \|g\|_1 < +\infty \tag{3.1}$$

We first compute

$$[W_0(-t) S_0(t)g](v) = [S_0(t)g](v + at) = \exp\left[-\int_0^t \nu(v + a(t - s)) ds\right] g(v)$$

so that in the strong operator topology of  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$

$$\Omega_0^- g = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} W_0(-t) S_0(t)g, \quad [\Omega_0^- g](v) = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{a} \int_v^\infty \nu(\hat{v}) d\hat{v}\right] g(v)$$

which is a positive operator with a bounded positive inverse.

Next, we premultiply Eq. (2.4) by  $S_0(-t)$  to obtain

$$S_0(-t) S(t)g = g + \int_0^t S_0(-s) KS(s)g ds$$

Using that the norm of  $S_0(-s)$  on  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$  is bounded above by  $\exp(M/a)$ , we find that the integral  $\int_t^\infty \|S_0(-s) KS(s)g\|_1 ds$  is finite [cf. (3.1)]. Hence, we have in the strong topology of  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$

$$\Omega_1^- g = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} S_0(-t) S(t)g = g + \int_0^\infty S_0(-s) KS(s)g ds$$

which is a bounded positive operator.

Finally, we see that  $\Omega^-$  can be defined by  $\Omega^- = \Omega_0^- \Omega_1^-$  and satisfies (1.5). ■

For the existence of  $\Omega^-$  no other condition on  $v(v)$  apart from its integrability is necessary. This is reasonable from the physical point of view, because in our case  $S(t)$  is an isometry for  $t \geq 0$  and hence the number of charged particles is conserved. The same thing will appear to be valid for the existence of  $\Omega^+$ .

**Theorem 3.** If the collision frequency  $v(v)$  satisfies the same assumptions as in Theorem 1, then the limit  $\Omega^+ = s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} S(-t) W_0(t)$  exists in the strong operator topology of  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$  and is a bounded positive operator.

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 2. ■

#### 4. TRAVELING WAVES IN THE ELECTRON TRANSPORT PROBLEM

When a nontrivial stationary solution exists in  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$ , the collision frequency  $v(v)$  is not integrable.<sup>(4)</sup> A different phenomenon occurs if  $v(v)$  is integrable. In this case there exists an (up to normalization) unique nontrivial nonnegative stationary solution in  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, v dv)$  that does not belong to  $L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$ . Using the results of the preceding section, we prove that the solution of the time-dependent problem behaves as a traveling wave in velocity space with “velocity”  $a$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .

By a traveling wave (in velocity space) of “velocity”  $a$  we mean a function of the form  $W_0(t) g$  with  $g$  independent of  $t$ , i.e., a function of the form

$$[W_0(t) g](v) = g(v - at)$$

In the study of the convergence of the solution of the time-dependent problem (1.1)–(1.2) to such a traveling wave an important role is played by the streaming semigroup  $S_0(t)$ . In the following lemma, we see how the integrability of  $v(v)$  affects its asymptotic behavior.

**Lemma 4.** If  $v(v)$  is integrable, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|S_0(t) g - W_0(t) \Omega_0^- g\|_1 = 0, \quad g \in L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv) \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$(\Omega_0^- g)(v) = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{a} \int_v^{+\infty} v(v') dv' \right] g(v)$$

while for nonintegrable  $v(v)$  we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|S_0(t) g\|_1 = 0, g \in L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$ .

*Proof.* Equation (4.1) follows directly by taking  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  in the expression

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{a} \int_w^{w+at} v(v'+at) dv' \right] - \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{a} \int_w^{+\infty} v(v'+at) dv' \right] \right\} |g(w)| dw$$

for  $\|S_0(t)g - W_0(t)\Omega_0^-g\|_1$ , using dominated convergence. The result for nonintegrable  $v(v)$  is an immediate consequence of definition (2.1) and the nonintegrability of  $v(v)$ . ■

We have the following result.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $v(v)$  be integrable. Then for every  $g \in L_1(\mathbb{R}, dv)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|W_0(-t)S_0(t)g - \Omega_0^-g\|_1 &= 0 \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|W_0(-t)S(t)g - \Omega^-g\|_1 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Omega_0^-$  and  $\Omega^-$  are the wave operators defined in Section 2.

*Proof.* This theorem is a direct consequence of Lemma 4 and Theorem 2. ■

Under the conditions of Theorem 5 we have

$$[S_0(t)g](v) \simeq [\Omega_0^-g](v-at), \quad [S(t)g](v) \simeq [\Omega^-g](v-at)$$

as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . Hence, in the remote future both  $S_0(t)g$  and  $S(t)g$  behave like traveling waves in velocity space.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work of G.F. was performed under the auspices of CNR–GNFM and the MPI project “Equations of Evolution.” The work of C.v.d.M. was supported by DOE grant DE-FG-05-97ER25033 and NSF grant DMS 8701050. The authors are greatly indebted to Stefano L. Pavri-Fontana for various discussions and communications.

## REFERENCES

1. K. Kumar, The physics of swarms and some basic questions of kinetic theory, *Phys. Rep.* **112**:319–375 (1984).
2. K. Kumar, H. R. Skullerud, and R. E. Robson, Kinetic theory of charged particle swarms in neutral gases, *Aust. J. Phys.* **33**:343–448 (1980).
3. E. M. Lifshitz and L. P. Pitaevskii, *Physical Kinetics*, Vol. 10, *Course of Theoretical Physics* (Pergamon Press, 1981).
4. G. Frosali, C. V. M. van der Mee, and S. L. Pavari-Fontana, Conditions for runaway phenomena in the kinetic theory of particle swarms, *J. Math. Phys.*, in press.
5. G. Cavalleri and S. L. Pavari-Fontana, Drift velocity and runaway phenomena for electrons in neutral gases, *Phys. Rev. A* **6**:327–333 (1972).
6. C. Møller, General properties of the characteristics matrix in the theory of elementary particles I, *Danske. Vid. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Medd.* **23**:1–48 (1945).
7. J. Cook, Convergence of the Møller wave-matrix, *J. Math. Phys.* **36**:82–87 (1957).
8. J. M. Jauch, Theory of the scattering operator, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **31**:127–158 (1958).
9. B. Simon, Wave operators for classical particle scattering, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **23**:37–48 (1971).
10. J. Hejtmánek, Scattering theory of the linear Boltzmann operator, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43**:109–120 (1975).
11. B. Simon, Existence of the scattering matrix for the linearized Boltzmann equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **41**:99–108 (1975).
12. W. Schappacher, Scattering theory for the linear Boltzmann equation, *Ber. Math.-Stat. Sect. Forschungs. Graz*, No. 69 (1976).
13. J. Voigt, On the existence of the scattering operator for the linear Boltzmann equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **58**:541–558 (1977).
14. T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966).
15. P. D. Lax and R. S. Phillips, *Scattering Theory* (Academic Press, New York, 1967).
16. M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics III: Scattering Theory* (Academic Press, New York, 1979).
17. H. Baumgärtel and M. Wollenberg, *Mathematical Scattering Theory* (Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983).
18. L. Arlotti, On the asymptotic behavior of electrons in an ionized gas, in *Proceedings of the Conference on Transport Theory, Invariant Imbedding, and Integral Equations in honor of G.M. Wing's 65th birthday* (Santa Fe, 1988), to appear.